

SWEET MAIZE (*ZEA MAYS* L. *SACCHARATA*) WEEDS INFESTATION, YIELD AND YIELD QUALITY AFFECTED BY DIFFERENT CROP DENSITIES

M. SIMIC¹, J. SRDIC¹, Z. VIDENOVIC¹, Z. DOLIJANOVIC², A. ULUDAG³ and D. KOVACEVIC²

¹Maize Research Institute, Zemun Polje, 11080 Belgrade, Serbia

²University of Belgrade, Faculty of Agriculture, 11000 Belgrade, Serbia

³Igdir University, Faculty of Agriculture, 35240 Igdir, Turkey

Abstract

SIMIC, M., J. SRDIC, Z. VIDENOVIC, Z. DOLIJANOVIC, A. ULUDAG and D. KOVACEVIC, 2012. Sweet maize (*Zea mays* L. *saccharata*) weeds infestation, yield and yield quality affected by different crop densities. *Bulg. J. Agric. Sci.*, 18: 668-674

Weeds are among main limiting factors in sweet maize production. Commercially grown sweet corn hybrids (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt.) vary widely in competitive ability against weeds which interference differentially affects yield and ear traits important to processing and fresh markets. A total of 28 sweet corn hybrids of different FAO maturity groups have been developed at the Maize Research Institute, Zemun Polje, and released by the the Commission for the Variety Releasing. In order to obtain high yields of good quality the scientists have been searching for the most appropriate growing practices. Therefore the objective of the present study was to determine the effect of four plant densities (40 000, 50 000, 60 000, and 70 000 plants/ha) on the level of weed infestation, yield and shelling percentage of four sweet maize hybrids (ZP 424su, ZP 462su, ZP 504su, and ZP 521su) in 2008 and 2009. Total fresh weight and the total number of weeds decreased with increasing sowing density, which was more prominent in 2008. Results of the analysis of variance showed that investigated factors, year, sowing density and hybrid had significant influence on fresh ear yield and shelling percentage. Sowing density affected fresh ear yield in the way that the denser sowing was, the higher yield was. Hybrids ZP 424su and ZP 462su gave higher fresh ear yields than other two. However, hybrids with less fresh ear yield (ZP 504su and ZP 521su) gave better shelling percentage. These results suggest that ZP 424su and ZP 462su can be preferable for fresh consumption and ZP 504su and ZP 521su for processing.

Key words: sweet maize (*Zea mays* L. *saccharata*), crop density, weeds, fresh ear yield, shelling percentage

Introduction

Sweet maize (*Zea mays* L. *saccharata*) is a vegetable crop with an important dietary significance. It has been consumed boiled, roasted or as adding to salads, pizzas etc. Although it has been grown on a small acreage, e.g. 5,000 ha in Serbia, it is a cash source for farmers, and furthermore expansion of growing areas is expected due to the increased usage (Pajić and Srdić, 2007).

Weeds are problem in sweet maize as well as in field maize production. Season-long weed interference resulted in 15% sweet maize yield loss in late planting and up to 85% yield loss in early planting (Williams, 2006). Furthermore, sweet maize is considered a weak competitor because of its shorter and less developed habitus, which makes improved weed management systems a priority. Even low densities of weeds also caused sweet maize yield losses (Williams, 2010). As

much as 1 *Ambrosia trifida* plant in 25 m² area caused 5 % loss in sweet maize ear mass (Williams and Masiunas, 2006) while 10.5 individual in 25 m² caused 5 % yield loss in field maize (Harrison et al., 2001). Both broadleaf and grass weeds infest sweet maize fields. In organically produced sweet maize, the most dominant weeds were *Digitaria sanguinalis* (L.) Scop., *Setaria faberi* Herrm., *Amaranthus hybridus* L. (Silvernail, 2005). *Panicum miliaceum*, *Ambrosia trifida*, and *Sinapis arvensis* are considered the most troublesome weeds in sweet maize (Davis and Liebman, 2001; Williams et al., 2008b).

Increasing environmental problems and concern on health issues has driven to develop new techniques and systems to deal with pests. Integrated weed management (IWM) is one of these approaches. IWM systems tend to efficiently use competitive ability of crops for weed suppression (Tollenaar et al. 1994; Williams et al., 2008a). Growing conditions (crop cultivation, floristic composition and weed distribution), as well as, biological traits of the crop (the growth rate and development during the growing season, maximum plant height and the leaf cover, etc.), notably affect crop competitiveness against weeds (Simić et al., 2009; Uremis et al., 2010). A correctly selected plant density in accordance with the genotype could be a mode to make a crop more competitive against weeds, while at the same time, herbicides can be applied in lower rates (Simić et al., 2007).

Sweet maize hybrids similar to standard field maize hybrids differently response to plant densities depending on genotypes and their maturity group (Turgut, 2000; Moris et al., 2000; Rangarajan et al., 2000). The longer the maturity group (FAO), the higher total aboveground biomass is (Garcia y Garcia et al., 2009). At Maize Research Institute, Zemun Polje, Serbia 28 sweet maize hybrids of different FAO maturity groups have been released since 1970, with good agronomic and excellent properties of quality (Pajić et al., 2010). It is important to determine the most suitable agronomic techniques for each variety too. The objective of the present study was to determine the effect of sowing densities and different sweet maize hybrids on weed infestation and on sweet maize fresh ear yield and its shelling percentage.

Materials and Methods

Site description

Field experiments were conducted in 2008 and 2009 at Maize Research Institute, Zemun Polje, near Belgrade (44°52'N 20°20'E) in Serbia. The soil was slightly calcareous chernozem with 47% clay and silt and 53% sand. The soil properties at 0-30-cm layer were 3.3% organic matter, 0.21% total N, 1.9% organic C, 14 and 31 mg per 100 g soil of available P and extractable K, respectively, 9.7% total CaCO₃ and pH 7.8, on average.

Winter wheat was the preceding crop each year. The experimental area was ploughed in autumn, followed by one pass of disk harrow and field cultivator prior to sowing. The fields received 90 kg/ha N, 90 kg/ha P and 90 kg/ha K in the mid October each year and 69 kg/ha N in the form of urea was incorporated into the soil in spring. The crop was manually sown on April 23rd in both years.

There was difference between two years in meteorological conditions. Average monthly air temperatures were similar in both years, but sum of precipitation and especially its distribution, were different in 2008 and 2009. Higher and evenly distributed precipitation in all months of cropping season was in 2008; but less precipitation was in 2009 and its distribution clearly varied among months, which was high in June and scarce in April. The high precipitation in June 2009 might be favourable for maize as well as weeds (Table 1).

Table 1
Average monthly air temperatures and monthly precipitation sums from April till September at Zemun Polje

| Months | Temperature, °C | | | Precipitation, mm | | |
|-------------|-----------------|------|-----------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 1998-2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 1998-2007 |
| April | 14.1 | 15.8 | 13.3 | 27.3 | 7.3 | 52.6 |
| May | 19.3 | 19.8 | 18.2 | 39.7 | 27.4 | 44.3 |
| June | 23 | 21 | 21.7 | 36.3 | 71.9 | 89.6 |
| July | 23.5 | 24.1 | 22.5 | 46.2 | 31.2 | 56.6 |
| August | 24.2 | 23.9 | 20.5 | 19.7 | 36.6 | 56.8 |
| September | 17.5 | 20.6 | 17.9 | 54.4 | 4 | 50.9 |
| Average/Sum | 20.3 | 20.9 | 19 | 223.6 | 178.4 | 320.8 |

Experimental design

The experiment was in factorial setting with two factors (sowing density and genotype) in RCBD with three replications. Sweet maize was sown in four different densities: D₁- 40 000 plants/ha; D₂-50 000 plants/ha; D₃-60 000 plants/ha and D₄-70 000 plants/ha. The inter-row distance was 70 cm for all plant densities, while the within-row plant distance was 35, 28, 24 and 20 cm regarding to experimental densities. In order to ensure given densities two kernels per hill were sown and then thinned to one plant in the 3-leaf stage. The two new Zemun Polje (ZP) sweet maize hybrids from FAO 400 maturity group ZP 424su (H₁) and ZP 462su (H₂) and one from FAO 500 maturity group ZP 521su (H₄) were sown. The well-known ZP 504su from maturity group FAO 500 (H₃) was used as a standard. The combination of herbicides, acetochlor + therbuthilyzine, was applied pre emergence in the rate of 1800 + 1000 a.i g/ha, respectively. A hand-held sprayer with capacity of 15 l at 300 kPa with a flat-fan nozzle (Teejet, 1.4 mm E 04-80) was used. The main plot size was 78.4 m² (7.0 m by 11.2 m) and sub-plot size for hybrids was 19.6 m² (7.0 m by 2.8 m, which consisted of 4 crop rows). Crops were harvested 22-24 days after pollination for each hybrid (Table 2).

Measurements and statistical analysis

Weed species were separately counted and uprooted to determine fresh weight in two 0.25 m² squares, which is placed in the middle of the each main plot a month after the herbicide application. All ears in two inner rows of each subplot were harvested and weighted without husk. Furthermore, a shelling percentage, as a kernel weight to ear without husk weight ratio, was determined in a sample of 10 randomly selected fresh ears.

Table 2
Harvest date of sweet maize hybrids in 2008 and 2009

| Hybrids | Year | |
|----------|---------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 |
| ZP 424su | 24 July | 3 August |
| ZP 462su | 25 July | 6 August |
| ZP 504su | 25 July | 6 August |
| ZP 521su | 24 July | 3 August |

Fresh ear yield and shelling percentage data were calculated by ANOVA for the three factorial trial (two years, four densities and four hybrids), and differences between means were tested by the least significant difference (LSD) test (Gomez and Gomez, 1984).

Results

In sweet maize weed association, both perennial and annual species were determined. Perennial weed species were recorded in both years but they were not exactly the same: *Convolvulus arvensis*, *Sorghum halepense* and *C. sepium* in 2008 and *C. arvensis*, *S. halepense* and *Cirsium arvense* in 2009. The remaining weeds were annual species (Tables 3 and 4). The number of weed species recorded in both years was similar: ten and eleven in 2008 and 2009, respectively and seven species made the basic spectrum-*Chenopodium hybridum*, *Datura stramonium*, *Solanum nigrum*, *Hibiscus trionum*, *Sorghum halepense*, *Abutilon theophrasti* and *Convolvulus arvensis*.

The lowest total number and fresh weight of weeds were detected in D₄ in both years- 24.0 plants/m² and 228.9 g/m² (2008) and 28.0 plants/m² and 520.4 g/m² (2009). The greatest total fresh weight was 612.3 g/m² (D₂) in 2008 and 934.0 g/m² (D₃) in 2009. Even though differences in weed parameters between sweet maize sowing densities are obvious, they are not significant (Table 5). Only year had significant influence on weed biomass, which is connected with meteorological conditions.

Results of the analysis of variance (Table 6) showed that investigated factors, year, sowing density and hybrid had significant influence on the observed traits. Different metrological conditions in the two years had significant impact on the variability of fresh ear yield as well as on the quality production of sweet maize. Effect of the sowing density produced significant variations in both traits and significant differences among hybrids were found both concerning fresh ear yield and shelling percentage. All the interactions between investigated factors produced significant variations, except the Year x Hybrid interaction in fresh ear yield.

The highest average fresh ear yield was obtained from ZP 424su (11.39 t/ha) followed by ZP 462su and

Table 3
Weed species, weed number and weed fresh weight depending on sweet maize densities in 2008

| Weed species | Sweet maize density | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | D ₁ | | D ₂ | | D ₃ | | D ₄ | |
| | N* | B** | N | B | N | B | N | B |
| <i>Solanum nigrum</i> | 18.7 | 95.5 | 10.7 | 95.7 | 12 | 91.7 | 13.3 | 73.6 |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | 6.7 | 90.7 | 5.3 | 141.7 | 6.7 | 53.6 | 5.3 | 70 |
| <i>Sorghum halepense</i> | 2.7 | 70.7 | 4 | 170.7 | 1.3 | 32.5 | 4 | 77.5 |
| <i>Datura stramonium</i> | 2.7 | 150.1 | 1.3 | 61.7 | - | - | 1.3 | 7.9 |
| <i>Convolvulus sepium</i> | 1.3 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 81.5 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Hibiscus trionum</i> | 1.3 | 26 | - | - | 4 | 56.4 | - | - |
| <i>Chenopodium hybridum</i> | - | - | 2.7 | 38.4 | 4 | 23.3 | - | - |
| <i>Digitaria sanguinalis</i> | 2.7 | 32.1 | 1.3 | 12.3 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> | 1.3 | 15.1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Amaranthus albus</i> | - | - | 1.3 | 10.3 | - | - | - | - |
| Sum | 37.3 | 486.7 | 28 | 612.3 | 28 | 257.6 | 24 | 228.9 |

* N means the number of weeds per m²

** W means biomass of weeds (g/m²)

Table 4
Weed species, weed number and weed fresh weight depending on sweet maize densities in 2009

| Weed species | Sweet maize density | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | D ₁ | | D ₂ | | D ₃ | | D ₄ | |
| | N* | B** | N | B | N | B | N | B |
| <i>Datura stramonium</i> | 6.7 | 237.9 | 2.7 | 70.5 | 6.7 | 315.9 | 4 | 75.3 |
| <i>Chenopodium album</i> | 8 | 110.4 | 2.7 | 102.7 | 2.7 | 156 | 4 | 88.4 |
| <i>Chenopodium hybridum</i> | 12 | 169.3 | 6.7 | 108.9 | 4 | 61.9 | 5.3 | 110.5 |
| <i>Convolvulus arvensis</i> | 4 | 142.3 | 8 | 143.6 | 1.3 | 30.9 | 5.3 | 108 |
| <i>Amaranthus hybridus</i> | 5.3 | 80.7 | 1.3 | 109.3 | 2.7 | 154.3 | - | - |
| <i>Cirsium arvense</i> | 2.7 | 71.2 | 1.3 | 53.5 | 1.3 | 18.4 | 2.7 | 76.5 |
| <i>Xanthium strumarium</i> | 1.3 | 17.7 | 1.3 | 48.9 | 1.3 | 108.7 | 1.3 | 31.1 |
| <i>Abutilon theophrasti</i> | 1.3 | 34.3 | 2.7 | 104.4 | 1.3 | 28.8 | 1.3 | 20.1 |
| <i>Sorghum halepense</i> | 1.3 | 19.1 | 2.7 | 9.6 | 2.7 | 47.6 | 1.3 | 6.4 |
| <i>Hibiscus trionum</i> | 1.3 | 5.3 | 1.3 | 21.2 | - | - | - | - |
| <i>Solanum nigrum</i> | 1.3 | 6.3 | - | - | 2.7 | 11.3 | 2.7 | 4 |
| Sum | 45.3 | 894.4 | 30.7 | 772.7 | 26.7 | 934 | 28 | 520.4 |

* N means the number of weeds per m²

** B means biomass of weeds (g/m²)

ZP 521su, while the standard ZP 504su had the lowest fresh ear yield which was significantly lower from all the others in 2008 (Table 7). The ZP 462su gave the highest fresh ear yield (10.89 t/ha) and the lowest fresh ear yield was like in the previous year, produced by ZP 504su (9.10 t/ha) in 2009. Average fresh ear yield in 2008 was higher than in 2009 while it was opposite for average shelling percentage. In 2008, the highest shell-

ing percentage produced hybrid ZP 521su which was among the lower yielding hybrids. The lowest yielding hybrid ZP 504 had the highest shelling percentage, which in 2009 was 76.70 %.

Average fresh ear yield also significantly varied over sowing densities and the higher density was the higher yield was (Table 8). Hence the highest average fresh ear yield (11.45 t/ha) was in the highest sowing density

Table 5
ANOVA for number of weed plants (No/m²) and weed biomass (g/m²)

| Sources of variance | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | |
|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | No of weed plants | Weed biomass |
| Year | 1 | 0.46ns | 10.25*** |
| Sowing density | 3 | 2.05ns | 1.55ns |
| Year x S. density | 3 | 0.15ns | 0.83ns |

** - significant at 0.001 probability level; ns – not significant

Table 6
ANOVA for fresh ear yield and shelling percentage

| Sources of variance | Degrees of freedom | Mean square | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|
| | | Fresh ear yield, t/ha | Shelling percentage, % |
| Year | 1 | 9.47** | 1960.14** |
| Sowing density | 3 | 20.77** | 22.61** |
| Hybrid | 3 | 17.26** | 51.15** |
| Year x Sowing density | 3 | 4.82** | 46.81** |
| Year x Hybrid | 3 | 0.63ns | 145.87** |
| Sowing density x Hybrid | 9 | 1.51** | 13.63** |
| Year x S. density x Hybrid | 9 | 2.44** | 19.24** |

** - significant at 0.01 probability level; ns – not significant

Table 7
Effects of the year and genotype and their interaction on fresh ear yield and shelling percentage

| Hybrid | Fresh ear yield, t/ha | | Shelling percentage, % | |
|----------|-----------------------|-------|------------------------|-------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2008 | 2009 |
| ZP 424su | 11.39 | 10.61 | 62.66 | 70.92 |
| ZP 462su | 11.26 | 10.89 | 62.7 | 67.46 |
| ZP 504su | 9.44 | 9.1 | 60.6 | 76.7 |
| ZP 521su | 10.65 | 9.64 | 63.15 | 70.17 |
| Average | 10.67 | 10.06 | 62.27 | 71.31 |

(70 000 plants/ha). Hybrid ZP 462su had the highest average yield 11.07 t/ha and it was especially productive in sowing density of 60 000 plants per ha. The highest yield (12.24 t/ha) was recorded in the highest sowing density for the ZP 424su. The lowest yielding

hybrid was ZP 504su (9.27 t/ha, average), and its yield in different sowing densities was significantly lower than yields of other hybrids. Hybrid ZP 521su had the highest shelling percentage in 2008 and ZP 504su in 2009 (Table 7). The highest average shelling percentage was recorded for the hybrid ZP 504su (68.65 %) and it was especially high in the lowest planting density (72.11%) (Table 8).

Discussion

Observed weed species belong to the *Hibisco-Eragrostietum*, Tx 1950 association which is mainly distributed in row field crops in Serbia and has been reduced due to applied cropping practices. Therefore, the distribution of terophytes is dominant while several geophytes are permanently present (Stefanović, 1984). The greatest effect of maize density is on robust, heliophilic, broad-leaf weed species, such as *D. stramonium* and *A. theophrasti* (Teasdale, 1998; Oljača, 2000). The species, *Abutilon theophrasti*, *C. sepium* and *Digitaria sanguinalis*, requiring greater amounts of light were not present in higher plant densities in 2008. Among these three species, only *A. theophrasti* was recorded in 2009 of which density was similar but fresh weight was less in higher densities. Our results are in parallel with research that indicated that the increased maize density resulted in decrease of the number of species, number of plants per species and the weed fresh weight (Tollenaar et al., 1994; Williams et al., 2007).

Lower precipitation in the April in 2009 influenced efficiency of the soil-applied herbicides and caused greater number of species, individual plants and fresh weight of weeds in 2009 than in 2008. Higher precipitations in June (71.9 mm) in 2009 also might cause higher plant emergence and growth, which was reflected in both parameters due to time of weed scoring. The number of weed species changed depending on sweet maize density. The lowest number of weed species was determined in D_4 in both years, i.e. four species in 2008 and nine in 2009 while the highest number of species was determined in D_1 in both years.

Average fresh ear yield was higher in higher sweet maize density. In fact, growing maize in higher plant densities can be a good way to reduce the level of

Table 8
Effects of sowing density and genotype and their interaction on fresh ear yield and shelling percentage

| Hybrid | Fresh ear yield, t/ha | | | | | Shelling percentage, % | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|---------|
| | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | Average | D ₁ | D ₂ | D ₃ | D ₄ | Average |
| ZP 424su | 9.85 | 10.43 | 11.48 | 12.24 | 11.00a | 66.89 | 67.66 | 66.51 | 66.08 | 66.79ab |
| ZP 462su | 9.72 | 10.75 | 12.11 | 11.73 | 11.07a | 66.22 | 65.27 | 65 | 63.85 | 65.08b |
| ZP 504su | 8.41 | 9.38 | 8.67 | 10.63 | 9.27c | 72.11 | 69.02 | 64.97 | 68.5 | 68.65a |
| ZP 521su | 9.21 | 9.43 | 10.73 | 11.21 | 10.14b | 65.93 | 67.76 | 66.47 | 66.48 | 66.66ab |
| Average | 9.30d | 10.00c | 10.74b | 11.45a | | 67.79a | 67.43ab | 65.74b | 66.23ab | |
| LSD _{0.05} = 0.49 | | | | | LSD _{0.05} = 2.00 | | | | | |

weed infestation and at the same time to achieve higher yields (Simić et al., 2007). Many studies of the effect of sowing densities also showed that increased sweet maize densities caused increase in fresh ear yields (Hao, 1999; Akman, 2002; Oktem, 2005). The effect of the increased sweet maize density was more strongly pronounced in more favourable 2008 than in 2009, which confirms the fact that meteorological conditions of the given environment affect the expression of the hybrid competitive ability. The recent studies point out that the growth rate is greater of medium (hybrids in the current study are in this group) and late maturity group hybrids under cooler weather conditions during the growing season, while this rate is greater in early maturity hybrids under warmer weather conditions (Garcia y Garcia et al., 2009).

The results show that ZP 424su and ZP 462su have higher potential to produce higher ear yields than other two hybrids. However, hybrids with less fresh ear yield (ZP 504su and ZP 521su) gave better shelling percentage. These results suggest that ZP 424su and ZP 462su can be preferable for fresh consumption and ZP 504su and ZP 521su for processing. In fact, the hybrid ZP 504su has been the best seller for years among ZP sweet maize hybrids, and the hybrid ZP 521su is a recent result of breeding of maize hybrids with specific properties of increased sugar.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the Ministry of Science and Technological Development of the Republic of Serbia as part of Project "Integrated field crop production: conservation of biodiversity and soil fertility" (Reg. No. TR 31037).

References

- Akman, Z.**, 2002. Effect of tiller removing and plant density on ear yield of sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt). *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **5**: 906-908.
- Davis, A. S. and M. Liebman**, 2001. Nitrogen source influences wild mustard growth and competitive effect on sweet corn. *Weed Science*, **49**: 558-566.
- Garcia y Garcia, A., L. C. Guera and G. Hoogenboom**, 2009. Impact of planting date and hybrid on early growth of sweet corn. *Agronomy Journal*, **101**: 193-200.
- Gomez, K. A. and A. A. Gomez**, 1984. Statistical procedures for agricultural research. *John Wiley & Sons*, New York, p. 680.
- Hao, X.**, 1999. Effects of plant density on growth, yield, and quality of fresh market sweet corn. *Horticultural Sciences*, **34**: 478-480.
- Harrison, S. K., E. E. Regnier, J. T. Schmoll and J. E. Webb**, 2001. Competition and fecundity of giant ragweed in corn. *Weed Science*, **49**: 224-229.
- Moris, F. T., G. Hamilton and S. Harney**, 2000. Optimum plant population for fresh-market sweet corn in the Northeastern United States. *Horticultural Technology*, **10**: 331-336.
- Oktem, A.**, 2005. Response of sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt) to nitrogen and intra row spaces in semi-arid region. *Pakistan Journal of Biological Sciences*, **8**: 160-163.
- Oljača, S., M. Stanojević, S. Vrbničanin, L. Stefanović and D. Kovačević**, 2000. Competition between maize and Jimsonweed (*Datura stramonium* L.). *Acta Herbológica*, **9**: 61-69 (Sr).
- Pajić, Z. and J. Srdić**, 2007. Breeding of maize for special purposes and the industrial use. In: Konstantinov, K., Anđelković, V. (Editors), *Science as sustainable development. Maize Research Institute "Zemun Polje"*, Belgrade, Serbia, pp. 25-43.

- Pajić, Z., M. Radosavljević, M. Filipović, G. Todorović, J. Srdić and M. Pavlov**, 2010. Breeding of speciality maize for industrial purposes. *Genetics*, **42**: 57-66.
- Rangarajan, A., B. Ingall and S. M. Orfanedes**, 2000. Impact of plant population, nitrogen, and variety on early fresh-market sweet corn quality. *Horticultural Sciences*, **38**: 439-440.
- Silvernail, A.**, 2005. Weed management in organic sweet corn. *Horticultural Sciences*, **40**: 1071-1072.
- Simić, M., L. Stefanović, G. Drinić and M. Filipović**, 2007. Weed suppression by plant arrangement of maize. In: J. Neetland (Editor). 14th EWRS Symposium, (Proceedings of Symposium, Hamar, Norway, 18-21 June 2007. Bioforsk Norwegian Institute for Agricultural and Environmental Research, pp. 44).
- Simić, M., Z. Dolijanović, R. Maletić, M. Filipović and N. Grčić**, 2009. The genotype role in maize competitive ability. *Genetics*, **41**: 59-67.
- Stefanović, L.**, 1984. Maize weed associations in northeast Serbia. *Journal of Scientific Agricultural Research*, **45**: 189-228.
- Teasdale, R. J.**, 1998. Influence of corn (*Zea mays*) population and row spacing on corn and velvetleaf (*Abutilon theophrasti*) yield. *Weed Science*, **46**: 447-453.
- Tollenaar, M., A. A. Dibo, A. Aguilera, F. S. Weise and J. C. Swanton**, 1994. Effect of crop density on weed interference in maize. *Agronomy Journal*, **86**: 591-595.
- Turgut, I.**, 2000. Effects of plant populations and nitrogen doses on fresh ear yield and yield components of sweet corn (*Zea mays saccharata* Sturt.) grown under Bursa conditions. *Turkish Journal of Agriculture and Forestry*, **24**: 341-348.
- Uremis, I., A. Uludag, A. C. Ulger and B. Cakir**, 2010. Determination of critical period for weed control in the second crop corn under mediterranean conditions. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, **8**: 4475-4480.
- Williams, M. M. II**, 2006. Planting date influences critical period of weed control in sweet corn. *Weed Science*, **54**: 928-933.
- Williams, M. M. II and J. B. Masiunas**, 2006. Functional relationships between giant ragweed (*Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L.) interference and sweet corn yield and ear traits. *Weed Science*, **54**: 948-953.
- Williams, M. M. II, R. A. Boydston and A. S. Davis**, 2008a. Crop competitive ability contributes to herbicide performance in sweet corn. *Weed Research*, **48**: 58-67.
- Williams, M. M. II, T. L. Rabaey and C. M. Boerboom**, 2008b. Residual Weeds of Processing Sweet Corn in the North Central Region. *Weed Technology*, **22**: 646-653.
- Williams, M. M. II**, 2010. Biological Significance of Low Weed Population Densities on Sweet Corn. *Agronomy Journal*, **102**: 464-468.

Received October, 20, 2011; accepted for printing July, 2, 2012.